

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

_	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/757,734	01/13/2004	Paul D. Panetta	50005-107/BAT-060	4882	
	32215	7590 05/18/2006		EXAMINER		
	KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP			LARKIN, DA	KIN, DANIEL SEAN	
		MON STREET, SUITE	1600	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	ONE WORLD TRADE CENTER PORTLAND, OR 97204		·	2856		

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)
	10/757,734	PANETTA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	D 1101 11	0050
	Daniel S. Larkin	2856

,	Daniel S. Larkin	2856				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI		•				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Nor a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70		ETHOTILE ET WOT	iceb willing			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered by	2021150			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further collin (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
appeal; and/or			110 133003 101			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1)		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)			
		Impliant Amendment	(1 TOL-524).			
<u></u> ••		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the control of the co		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 17-25.						
Claim(s) objected to: 2-11,13,15 and 16.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,12 and 14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)				
		,				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: While the examiner agrees with applicant's argument that allowable subject matter has been added to claim 1, the amendment to claim 1 also adds subject matter, i.e. fungi and mammalian cells, which has not been previously considered, such that consideration now at this stage of prosecution would require more than a cursory review on the part of the examiner. Additionally, the examiner has not previously had to consider the limitation added to claim 12, as well.

DANIELS.LARKIN

2